

## Stakeholder Advisory Committee, Meeting #4

---

DATE: April 30, 2009

ATTENDEES: Mark Labhart, Willard Berry, Claudine Rehn, Mark Elston, Tom Weber, Shirley Kalkhoven, David Mattison, Larry McKinley, Mayor Robert McPheeters, Norm Myers, Norm Rauscher, Shawn Reiersgaard, Jan Stewart, Mark Gervasi, Tony Snyder, Ingrid Weisenbach, Kristin Hull,

GUESTS: Gus Meyer, Alene Allen

LOCATION: Tillamook PUD, Carl Rawe Room, 1115 Pacific Ave.

### Welcome and Introductions

Kristin Hull welcomed the group and asked for self-introductions. She briefly summarized the agenda which will include reviewing the concept evaluation and discussing which concept(s) should move forward. The meeting summary from the third meeting was approved with no corrections or additions.

### Public Comment

There was no public comment.

### Concept Review and Evaluation

Kristin identified key project milestones to date and then outlined the concepts studied, a cost comparison of the concepts, and results of the evaluation of the concepts. She said the cost comparison was just an attempt to provide a reference between concepts and the amounts stated were general estimates. There were a number of questions related to the types of items included in the estimates:

- Do the estimates include improvements to Main and Pacific avenues? (No)
- Do the cost estimates indicate that these projects would be too expensive to construct? (No)

Kristin then reviewed the concepts the SAC recommended for analysis – A, B1, B2, C and E and highlights of their evaluation. She also discussed refined concepts C1, C2, C3, and C4. She said the PMT recommendation was to:

- Set aside B2 (more impacts than option B1 and no additional operational benefit).

- Set aside concepts with two-way traffic on 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> streets (very little benefit and lots of impacts) – A, E, B1, B2
- Advance C and consider refinements to C to improve transportation performance and possibly capture some benefit of two-way traffic on 1<sup>st</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> streets.

The SAC agreed to put A, B1, B2 and E aside.

## Discussion of Concepts

The group raised questions about how the two-way configuration suggested in C4 would work. These included questions about access to businesses north of 1<sup>st</sup> Street, maintenance of left turns at intersections (Laurel, Madrona, etc.), and accommodation of US Postal Service trucks. The group generally liked the option of providing two way traffic on 1<sup>st</sup> Street because it would reduce the need for cars and trucks traveling south on US 101 that want to east on Hwy 6 to travel through downtown Tillamook. The group was concerned about the removal of parking on 1<sup>st</sup> Street particularly as it relates to the County Courthouse. The project team explained that many of the issues related to access would be addressed during the design phase. Larry McKinley told the group that ODOT would continue to explore options for replacing on-street parking with the City.

The group also liked the westbound lane on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street included with C3 and C4 because it would reduce out of direction travel and provide a benefit for emergency responders accessing the hospital.

Additional discussion on the “C concepts” included:

- C1 – Doesn’t provide westbound on 3<sup>rd</sup> for hospital access. Could provide parking on 1<sup>st</sup> where businesses are removed.
- C2 – How does removed on-street parking get replaced?
- C3 – Like westbound lane on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street.
- C4 – Like two way traffic on 1<sup>st</sup> because it provides benefit for southbound 101 traffic turning east onto Highway 6.

Following the discussion of the C concepts, the committee agreed, by consensus, to forward C3 and C4 to the next phase of the project.

## Next Steps

At the next meeting we will begin discussion of the Main Avenue and Pacific Avenue cross-section issues and parking issues (i.e. can ODOT legally use gas tax revenues to build off-system parking facilities).

The project will host the next open house at a booth at the Tillamook Farmers’ Market on Saturday, June 13<sup>th</sup> from 9:00 a.m. – 2:00 p.m.

## Public Comment

There was no public comment.

## Conclusion and Next Steps

We will poll the committee about the best date for the next meeting to be held in late May

Meeting was adjourned at 6:45 p.m.